Schema Therapy Mastery Plus +

Q&A Webinar Companion Guidebook 1

Questions & Answers in Schema Therapy Series

Themes - Working with Modes, Assessment, Trauma, Telehealth and Clinical Formulation

Dr Rob Brockman
Clinical Psychologist
ISST Certified Schema Therapist, Supervisor & Trainer

Companion Guidebook to the Schema Mastery Plus Webinar Series

About this Guidebook

This Companion Guidebook has been edited and produced by **Dr Rob Brockman** with assistance from **OpenAI's ChatGPT** using AI-assisted editorial tools. The original Questions & Answers webinar has been transformed into a structured educational resource by summarising, organising, and editing the content for clarity and readability while preserving the intent and substance of the clinical teaching.

Rather than serving as a verbatim transcript, this guidebook is designed to complement the original webinar by providing a concise, searchable reference that supports ongoing learning, reflection, and clinical practice.

Executive Summary

This Companion Guidebook distils the key clinical themes and insights from the *Schema Therapy Mastery Plus – Questions & Answers Webinar Series 1* into a structured, practical reference for Schema Therapy clinicians. Organised into six thematic chapters, it explores the use of the Young Schema Questionnaire, the influence of coping modes on assessment, experiential techniques in telehealth, complex schema formulations, trauma and brief interventions, and the relationship between modes, schemas, diagnosis, and clinical practice. Rather than serving as a transcript, the guidebook synthesises the webinar into an accessible

educational resource, highlighting core principles, Clinical Pearls, and practical takeaways that support formulation, deepen therapeutic understanding, and facilitate the effective application of Schema Therapy across a broad range of clinical presentations.

Editor's Opening Note

This guidebook has been substantially edited for readability while preserving the original teaching and clinical intent of the webinar.

Repetitions, conversational tangents, participant introductions, and non-clinical discussion have been removed.

The content has been reorganised into thematic chapters to create a practical educational resource that complements the original recording rather than simply reproducing it.

The aim is to provide Schema Mastery Plus members with a companion resource that can be revisited long after the webinar has concluded—supporting reflection, formulation, and the continued development of Schema Therapy practice.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1

Understanding the Young Schema Questionnaire and the Influence of Coping Modes on Responses

Chapter 2

Conducting Experiential Work Online

Chapter 3

Understanding Complex Schema Combinations

Chapter 4

Working with Trauma, Dissociation, and Brief Interventions

Chapter 5

Modes, Schemas, Diagnosis, and the Scope of Schema Therapy

Chapter 6

Using the Schema Questionnaire as a Clinical Tool

CHAPTER 1

Understanding the Young Schema Questionnaire and the Influence of Coping Modes on Responses

*The Young Schema Questionnaire is one of the most widely used assessment tools in Schema Therapy. However, understanding **how** clients complete the questionnaire is often just as important as the scores themselves. This chapter explores the influence of coping modes on assessment and discusses how therapists can use questionnaire results as the beginning of formulation rather than the end of it.*

Coping and the Schema Questionnaire

Question

Can you elaborate on how coping shows up in the Schema Questionnaire?

Response

That's a great question.

The Schema Questionnaire itself doesn't directly measure coping. It's designed to assess **Early Maladaptive Schemas**, not coping styles.

However, people complete the questionnaire from within a particular mode, and that can strongly influence how they respond.

For example, someone completing the questionnaire while in a **Detached Protector** mode may minimise or deny problems:

"There's nothing wrong."

"I'm not afraid of abandonment."

"That doesn't really affect me."

In contrast, if someone completes it while feeling emotionally vulnerable, they're often much more in touch with their schemas, and the results may more accurately reflect their experience.

Someone in a strong coping mode may significantly under-report their schemas, while another person in a highly distressed state may over-report their suffering.

The important point is this:

Clinical Pearl

People don't complete questionnaires objectively—they complete them from within a particular mode.

The broader psychological literature supports this idea. Human beings are affected by many cognitive and emotional biases, including **emotion-state bias**. Our emotional state influences how we remember experiences and evaluate ourselves.

Because of this, self-report questionnaires should always be viewed as **screening tools**, not definitive assessments.

Unfortunately, we don't yet have a "schema blood test."

Question

That makes sense. I wasn't expecting the questionnaire to measure coping directly. I was wondering whether coping influences how people answer the questions. Sometimes clients seem to answer in ways that don't quite fit what I know about them.

Response

Absolutely.

Sometimes completing the questionnaire activates schemas or modes. Other times, clients simply happen to be in a Detached Protector or another coping mode when they fill it out.

For example, someone might receive a reminder about tomorrow's appointment while feeling emotionally shut down. They complete the questionnaire in that state and report very little distress because they're disconnected from their feelings.

The opposite can also happen.

The important principle is that **everything we do occurs from within a mode**. Completing questionnaires is no exception.

Repeating the Questionnaire

Question

I had a client who wanted to complete the questionnaire again a month later because she felt the original responses weren't accurate. What are your thoughts about repeating it?

Response

My first question wouldn't be whether she should repeat it.

My first question would be:

"What part of you wants to complete it again?"

Is this coming from a **Healthy Adult** that's genuinely curious?

Or is it coming from an **Overcontroller** that needs certainty and wants the "perfect" result?

Understanding **why** the client wants to repeat the questionnaire is clinically more interesting than the questionnaire itself.

That said, I would usually allow them to complete it again.

I would explain that people naturally respond differently depending on their emotional state and that changes over time are expected.

However, I would also remind them that the original questionnaire still captured something important.

Clients often seek therapy when their schemas are highly activated. Those initial responses may therefore provide valuable information about the difficulties that brought them into treatment.

One thing I also pay attention to is behaviour.

When someone wants to complete a 116-item questionnaire repeatedly, I become curious.

That behaviour may itself reflect a coping mode.

Perhaps they're seeking certainty.

Perhaps they're trying to achieve the perfect answer.

Perhaps they're overcontrolling.

Those behaviours tell us much more about coping than the questionnaire ever could.

Once you understand modes, coping becomes increasingly obvious.

Clients don't simply tell you how they cope—they demonstrate it throughout therapy.

Key Takeaways

- The Young Schema Questionnaire assesses **schemas**, not coping styles.
- Clients complete questionnaires from within a particular mode, and this can influence their responses.
- Emotional state can lead clients to either under-report or over-report their difficulties.
- Questionnaire results should always be interpreted alongside clinical formulation.
- Asking **"What part wants to complete the questionnaire again?"** often provides valuable information about coping modes.
- Repeating the questionnaire can be appropriate, but the client's motivation for doing so is clinically important.
- Clients reveal coping not only through what they say, but also through how they behave.

CHAPTER 2

Conducting Experiential Work Online

One of the most common questions therapists ask is whether experiential techniques lose their effectiveness when therapy is conducted online. This chapter explores how Imagery Rescripting and Chair Work can be successfully adapted for telehealth while preserving the core relational principles of Schema Therapy.

Imagery Rescripting Online

Question

How do you integrate the more experiential aspects of Schema Therapy with online clients?

Response

Imagery Rescripting translates remarkably well to telehealth.

As long as you have a stable internet connection and can maintain emotional contact with the client, imagery works just as effectively online as it does face-to-face.

For example:

"I'd like you to close your eyes and picture where you had dinner last night. What did the room look like? What were you eating? What could you smell? What could you taste?"

Most people can immediately create a vivid mental image.

That tells us something important.

Imagery is created **in the client's mind—not in the therapy room.**

Because of that, physical distance isn't the issue. What matters is maintaining emotional connection with the client while guiding the imagery.

Clinical Pearl

Imagery happens in the client's mind, not in the therapy room. The therapist's role is to maintain emotional connection while guiding the experience.

Chair Work Online

Chair Work is a little more challenging online, but with a few adjustments it can be just as powerful.

The key is ensuring that **both therapist and client have a spare chair available.**

Rather than using two chairs in one room, both therapist and client create their own chair setup.

Step 1 – The Client Speaks from the Mode

For example, imagine you're working with an **Inner Critic**.

Invite the client to move into the spare chair.

"I'd like you to become your Inner Critic. Speak to yourself from that part."

Allow the critic to express itself fully.

For example:

"You're hopeless."

"You always make mistakes."

"You'll never get anything right."

The goal is to allow the coping mode to become fully expressed.

Step 2 – Externalise the Mode

This is the crucial step.

Rather than responding directly to the client while they're sitting in the critic chair, create psychological distance.

Looking directly into the camera, say something like:

"I'd like us to imagine that critical part sitting here in the chair beside me."

The client is then invited to move back into their original chair.

Now the therapist addresses **the critic—not the client.**

For example:

"I don't like the way you speak to her."

"You're incredibly harsh."

"You're punitive rather than helpful."

"She's trying her best."

The conversation now occurs between the therapist and the mode while the client observes.

Why This Works

One of the biggest challenges in online Chair Work is maintaining enough emotional distance between the client and the coping mode.

If the therapist speaks directly to the client while confronting the critic, it can feel confusing or even invalidating.

Instead, the therapist creates an external representation of the mode.

The dialogue becomes:

Therapist ↔ Critic

rather than

Therapist ↔ Client

This separation allows the client to observe the interaction rather than experience it as personal criticism.

It also preserves one of the central principles of Chair Work:

Clinical Pearl

We challenge the mode—not the person.

Practical Tips for Online Chair Work

When conducting Chair Work online:

- Ask both therapist and client to have a spare chair available.
- Invite the client to physically move between chairs.
- Imagine the client's coping mode sitting in the therapist's spare chair.
- Speak directly to the mode while maintaining eye contact with the camera.
- Return the client to their Healthy Adult position before processing the interaction.

These simple adjustments preserve the emotional impact of Chair Work while making it highly effective in telehealth.

This section demonstrates an important principle of Schema Therapy:

Experiential techniques are fundamentally **relational rather than physical.**

While online therapy changes the logistics of Chair Work, it does not diminish the therapeutic power of Imagery Rescripting, emotional connection, or Limited Reparenting when these interventions are delivered skilfully.

Key Takeaways

- Imagery Rescripting translates extremely well to telehealth.
- The effectiveness of imagery depends on emotional connection rather than physical proximity.

- Chair Work can be readily adapted for online therapy with a few practical modifications.
- Externalising the mode helps maintain appropriate emotional distance.
- During Chair Work, the therapist speaks **to the mode**, not **to the client**.
- Returning the client to their Healthy Adult before processing the exercise is an important final step.
- Effective experiential work is fundamentally relational rather than dependent on being in the same room.

CHAPTER 3

Understanding Complex Schema Combinations

One of the strengths of Schema Therapy is that it rarely attempts to explain a client's difficulties through a single schema. More often, several schemas interact to shape a person's emotional experience. Understanding these combinations often provides the key to accurate formulation and effective intervention.

Looking Beneath the Symptom

Question

I'm working with a client who experiences severe separation anxiety. I naturally think of this as a Vulnerable Child presentation, but at times it seems as though it's the adult experiencing these fears. Is there an adult mode that still carries childhood fears?

Response

Whenever someone presents with intense fears of abandonment or separation, there is almost certainly a **Vulnerable Child** mode present.

The important question isn't simply:

"Is this a Vulnerable Child?"

Instead, ask:

"What is the child afraid will happen?"

Understanding the underlying fear gives you access to the client's schemas.

Sometimes therapists stop after identifying **Abandonment**.

Instead, continue exploring the emotional meaning beneath the fear.

Looking Beneath the Presenting Problem

As the discussion continued, it became clear that the client's presenting difficulty was not simply separation anxiety.

She also experienced an intense phobia of vomiting.

Rather than treating the symptom itself, Schema Therapy encourages curiosity.

Questions such as the following can help uncover the underlying schema:

- What would be so terrible about vomiting?
- What meaning does vomiting have for this client?
- What emotional consequence is she trying to avoid?

Until we understand **why** the feared event feels catastrophic, meaningful intervention is difficult.

The symptom is rarely the real problem.

Clinical Pearl

Symptoms tell us where to look. Schemas tell us why the symptoms exist.

When Cognitive Strategies Don't Shift the Fear

The participant explained that traditional cognitive approaches had produced little change.

Rather than assuming the fear was irrational, I suggested continuing to explore its emotional meaning.

For example, fears that initially appear identical may actually arise from very different schemas.

One client may fear vomiting because they believe they will choke.

Another may fear infecting other people.

Another may fear losing control.

Another may fear being helpless without anyone to care for them.

Although the presenting symptom appears the same, each presentation reflects a different schema formulation.

The therapist's task is not simply to eliminate the symptom.

It is to understand **what the symptom represents.**

Schema Combinations (Schema ‘Combos’)

An important clinical principle emerged from this discussion.

Clients rarely experience only one schema at a time.

Schemas frequently combine.

One common combination involves:

- **Vulnerability to Harm**
- **Abandonment**

The client's experience becomes:

"Something terrible is going to happen..."

combined with

"...and I'll be completely alone when it does."

The fear therefore becomes much greater than either schema would produce independently.

Understanding these combinations often explains why certain problems become so persistent.

Another Common Combination

Another combination frequently encountered in clinical practice is:

- **Abandonment**
- **Defectiveness/Shame**

The client's internal dialogue often sounds like this:

"They're going to leave me."

followed almost immediately by

"And when they leave, it'll prove there's something fundamentally wrong with me."

Notice how one schema reinforces the other.

Abandonment provides the fear.

Defectiveness provides the explanation.

Together they create a powerful cycle that maintains emotional distress.

Clinical Implications

When clients describe themselves as:

- "Too much."
- "Too needy."
- "Too emotional."

it is often helpful to consider whether these beliefs reflect an underlying **Defectiveness** schema rather than simply Abandonment.

Importantly, questionnaire scores alone should never determine the formulation.

Sometimes the questionnaire may not identify a schema strongly, yet the client's narrative clearly reveals its presence.

Clinical formulation should always integrate:

- Questionnaire findings
- Behavioural observations
- Emotional responses
- Developmental history
- Therapeutic interactions

The questionnaire begins the conversation.

It never replaces clinical judgement.

Clinical Pearl

The questionnaire begins the conversation. It never replaces clinical judgement.

Choosing Between EMDR and Imagery Rescripting

The discussion also explored integrating **EMDR** with **Schema Therapy**.

Both EMDR and Imagery Rescripting are legitimate trauma-processing approaches.

Rather than viewing them as competing models, they can often be understood as complementary.

A useful way to think about them is this:

Both methods process painful memories.

The therapist chooses the approach that best fits the client and the clinical context.

However, when the client's distress is fundamentally rooted in **attachment trauma**, Imagery Rescripting offers a unique advantage.

Within the imagery, the therapist can remain emotionally present, validate the child's experience, offer protection, and meet unmet emotional needs through **Limited Reparenting**.

For attachment-based schemas, this reparative experience is often the central mechanism of change.

Key Takeaways

- Clients rarely experience a single schema in isolation.
- Understanding **what the client fears will happen** is often more informative than identifying the presenting symptom.

- Symptoms should be understood in terms of the emotional meaning they hold for the client.
- Different schemas can produce remarkably similar symptoms.
- Common schema combinations often explain why presentations become persistent and complex.
- Questionnaire findings should always be integrated with behavioural observations, developmental history and therapeutic interactions.
- EMDR and Imagery Rescripting are complementary trauma-processing approaches.
- Imagery Rescripting offers particular advantages when working with attachment trauma because it directly addresses unmet emotional needs through Limited Reparenting.

CHAPTER 4

Working with Trauma, Dissociation, and Brief Interventions

Schema Therapy is often associated with longer-term psychotherapy. However, many therapists encounter situations where clients have significant memory gaps, present with dissociation, or can only be seen for very brief appointments. This chapter explores how schema principles can still guide effective clinical work in these challenging situations.

When Clients Cannot Remember Their Childhood

Question

What do you do when clients struggle to recall childhood memories, even though there doesn't appear to be any obvious trauma?

Response

The first step is to clarify exactly what the client means.

Sometimes people simply have poor autobiographical memory, which is quite normal.

However, occasionally clients say something much more striking, such as:

"I have almost no memories before the age of nine."

When someone reports a complete absence of memories from a particular period of childhood, it should prompt clinical curiosity.

While this is not proof of trauma, significant gaps in autobiographical memory are often associated with dissociation and early adverse experiences.

Rather than assuming this is simply poor memory, it is useful to consider whether forgetting has become a coping strategy.

Clinical Pearl

Significant childhood memory gaps are not proof of trauma, but they should invite clinical curiosity rather than be dismissed as poor memory.

Working Around the Memory Gap

The absence of early memories does not prevent Schema Therapy from progressing.

Instead of becoming stuck trying to access inaccessible memories, begin with memories the client can recall.

For example, if memories become reasonably clear from adolescence onwards, start there.

Work with emotionally significant events from later developmental periods.

As those memories are processed, clients often begin to recover earlier experiences naturally.

Rather than forcing recollection, the therapist allows memories to emerge as emotional safety increases.

A Practical Principle

When clients cannot remember early childhood:

- Start where memories are available.
- Process later emotionally significant experiences.
- Gradually work backwards through development.
- Allow earlier memories to emerge spontaneously.

Trying to force forgotten memories is rarely helpful.

Therapeutic processing often restores access without directly searching for it.

Clinical Pearl

The goal is not to recover memories. The goal is to heal emotional experience.

Recovered memories are sometimes a consequence of successful therapy—not its objective.

Applying Schema Therapy in Brief Correctional Settings

A participant described working with people under correctional supervision where appointments typically lasted only **10–15 minutes**.

This raises an important question:

Can Schema Therapy still be useful when traditional therapy is impossible?

Response

Fifteen minutes isn't enough time to conduct full Schema Therapy.

There simply isn't sufficient time to activate, process and safely resolve emotionally significant material.

However, Schema concepts can still be extremely valuable.

Rather than attempting trauma processing, use these sessions to increase awareness of the client's modes.

Develop a Mode Map

One of the earliest goals is to help the client understand the emotional states that place them at risk.

For example, a person with a history of violent offending might recognise two recurring modes:

- **Angry Child** – feeling threatened, humiliated or intensely frustrated.
- **Bully and Attack Mode** – attempting to regain control through intimidation or violence.

Understanding this sequence gives both therapist and client a shared language for recognising escalating risk.

Brief Mode Check-ins

Once the formulation has been developed, brief appointments can focus on monitoring mode activation.

Useful questions include:

- Which modes have shown up this week?
- What triggered them?
- How did you respond?
- Was your Healthy Adult able to intervene?
- What helped you avoid acting impulsively?

Although this is not full Schema Therapy, it keeps the client actively monitoring their emotional vulnerabilities.

Empathic Confrontation Still Matters

Even within very brief appointments, one core Schema Therapy principle remains highly valuable:

Empathic Confrontation

Clients benefit from experiencing both:

- Acceptance
- Accountability

The therapist validates emotional experience while also confronting behaviours that place the client—or others—at risk.

This combination often strengthens therapeutic engagement while encouraging personal responsibility.

Clinical Pearl

Even when time is limited, therapists can still help clients develop:

• Awareness of their modes

• Recognition of high-risk triggers

• Healthy Adult reflection

• More adaptive behavioural choices

Sometimes the greatest value of brief therapy lies not in deep emotional processing but in helping clients recognise patterns **before those patterns become behaviour.**

When the Client Already Has the Answer

During the discussion, another participant realised they had already answered their own question.

They recognised that their client's presentation was likely driven by a **Detached Protector** mode.

Rather than continuing with the original question, I highlighted an important therapeutic principle.

As therapists become increasingly familiar with Schema Therapy, formulation gradually becomes more intuitive.

Many clinical questions begin answering themselves.

This reflects growing confidence in formulation rather than simply increasing theoretical knowledge.

Clinical Reflection

One of the signs of developing expertise in Schema Therapy is that therapists begin noticing modes in real time.

Instead of asking:

"What technique should I use?"

they increasingly ask:

"Which mode is in front of me right now?"

That subtle shift fundamentally changes the direction of therapy.

Key Takeaways

- Childhood memory gaps should prompt curiosity rather than assumptions.
- Therapy can proceed effectively even when early memories are unavailable.
- The goal is emotional healing—not memory recovery.
- Brief appointments can still be highly valuable when focused on mode awareness.
- Mode maps provide clients with a practical language for recognising risk.
- Empathic Confrontation remains a powerful intervention, even in very short sessions.
- Expertise in Schema Therapy develops as therapists increasingly formulate clients in terms of modes rather than techniques.

CHAPTER 5

Modes, Schemas, Diagnosis, and the Scope of Schema Therapy

One of the most common questions therapists ask is whether they should formulate clients primarily in terms of schemas or modes. This chapter explores the relationship between these two concepts, the role of diagnosis within Schema Therapy, and the broad range of presentations for which the model can be useful.

Choosing Between a Schema Formulation and a Mode Formulation

Question

What influences your decision to work primarily at the schema level versus the mode level?

Response

For many therapists beginning Schema Therapy, this can feel like choosing between two different models.

In reality, they are complementary.

In most cases, I work primarily from the **mode model**.

Modes provide the language of therapy.

They help clients recognise their emotional states, coping responses, and behavioural patterns in everyday life.

Rather than asking clients to remember a list of schemas, I usually introduce a **Mode Map** early in therapy.

This becomes the primary framework through which clients understand themselves.

The mode model is often easier for clients to grasp because it describes experiences they recognise immediately.

For example:

"That's my Detached Protector."

"My Angry Child showed up."

"My Inner Critic has been very active this week."

This shared language creates a practical framework for therapy.

Clinical Pearl

Modes provide the language of therapy.

Schemas explain what needs healing.

When a Simple Schema Model Is Enough

Not every client requires a complex mode formulation.

Occasionally clients present with a single, well-defined difficulty.

For example:

- Unrelenting Standards
- Approval Seeking
- Self-Sacrifice

These clients are often highly functioning and may be seeking therapy for personal growth rather than severe emotional distress.

In these situations, introducing multiple modes may unnecessarily complicate the formulation.

A simpler schema-based approach may be entirely sufficient.

The guiding principle is straightforward.

Use the simplest formulation that adequately explains the client's difficulties.

Complexity should only be introduced when it genuinely adds clinical value.

Never Lose Sight of the Schemas

Although modes guide the therapeutic process, the underlying target of treatment remains the client's schemas and unmet emotional needs.

Modes tell us **who is present**.

Schemas tell us **what needs healing**.

For example, imagine a Vulnerable Child who believes:

"If people see how emotional I am, they'll reject me."

While working experientially, the therapist speaks directly to the Vulnerable Child.

However, the intervention itself addresses the underlying schema.

For example:

"Nothing you say could make me reject you."

"I'm staying with you."

"You're allowed to have these feelings."

The therapist is interacting with a mode while simultaneously healing an Abandonment schema and meeting unmet attachment needs.

This illustrates the relationship between the two concepts.

Modes organise the therapy.

Schemas organise the healing.

Clinical Pearl

‘We deal with modes in the room but we heal schemas’

Modes organise therapy.

Schemas organise healing.

The Role of Diagnosis

Question

Diagnosis seems to receive relatively little emphasis within Schema Therapy training.

Response

That reflects an important philosophical difference between Schema Therapy and many other psychological models.

Schema Therapy is fundamentally **transdiagnostic**.

Rather than organising treatment around diagnostic categories, it focuses on:

- Early Maladaptive Schemas
- Modes
- Coping Styles
- Core Emotional Needs

These psychological processes frequently cut across traditional diagnoses.

Consequently, two clients with the same diagnosis may require very different schema formulations.

Likewise, clients with entirely different diagnoses may share remarkably similar schemas.

Why Diagnosis Still Matters

Although diagnosis is not the organising principle of Schema Therapy, it remains clinically important.

Diagnosis helps therapists remain guided by the evidence base.

Research is generally conducted using diagnostic groups.

For example, substantial evidence supports Schema Therapy for:

- Personality Disorders
- Complex Trauma
- Chronic Interpersonal Difficulties

Knowing the diagnosis therefore helps determine whether Schema Therapy is an evidence-supported intervention for a particular presentation.

However, during therapy itself, diagnosis often becomes less important than understanding the person's emotional patterns.

Working Beyond the Label

Many clients arrive carrying diagnostic labels that have become part of their identity.

Some have had painful experiences of feeling reduced to a diagnosis.

In these situations, repeatedly emphasising diagnostic terminology may not be therapeutically helpful.

Instead, the therapist can shift attention toward understanding the client's emotional world.

Rather than asking:

"How do we treat Borderline Personality Disorder?"

the question becomes:

"What emotional needs were not met?"

This subtle shift often feels validating rather than pathologising.

Clinical Boundaries

Although Schema Therapy has broad application, therapists should remain guided by the available evidence.

Certain presentations require additional interventions alongside schema work.

For example:

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD)

Where OCD is present, **Exposure and Response Prevention (ERP)** remains an essential evidence-based intervention.

Schema work may enrich the formulation, but it should complement—not replace—behavioural treatment.

Similarly, therapists should exercise caution when working with clients experiencing acute psychosis.

Historically, intensive experiential work was considered inappropriate during periods of florid psychosis.

Emerging research suggests trauma-focused interventions may have a role in carefully selected and stable presentations.

Nevertheless, these cases require considerable clinical experience, careful judgement, and appropriate treatment planning.

The broader principle remains simple:

Clinical Pearl

Use Schema Therapy within the context of evidence-based practice—not in place of it.

Who Is Schema Therapy For?

Perhaps the most thought-provoking discussion of the webinar centred on a deceptively simple question.

Who is Schema Therapy actually for?

Schema Therapy is often associated with severe Personality Disorders.

While it is highly effective in that area, limiting the model to complex psychopathology significantly underestimates its scope.

In reality, Schema Therapy is relevant whenever unmet emotional needs continue to shape present-day functioning.

Many individuals experience schema-driven difficulties without meeting criteria for any formal psychiatric diagnosis.

Case Example: When the Past Shapes Parenting

Rob described a therapist who sought treatment because she found herself feeling unexpectedly angry toward her young son.

She had no history of significant mental illness.

She functioned well professionally.

Yet whenever she interacted with her son, she experienced intense irritation and hostility.

Exploration revealed that her son's appearance and behaviour unconsciously reminded her of her abusive father.

The emotional responses she had never resolved toward her father were being projected onto her child.

This was not a psychiatric disorder.

It was an unresolved schema affecting one of the most important relationships in her life.

Through Schema Therapy, those historical emotional patterns could be recognised and transformed, allowing her to respond to her son as he truly was, rather than through the lens of her past.

Case Example: The Quiet Professional

A second example involved a highly competent psychologist who consistently failed to progress into leadership roles.

Clinically, he functioned exceptionally well.

Yet in meetings he rarely voiced his opinions, avoided leadership opportunities, and consistently deferred to others.

Exploration uncovered a childhood memory in which he had answered a question incorrectly at school, been publicly humiliated, physically punished by his teacher, and later punished again at home.

The experience taught him that speaking up carried emotional danger.

Although he had no diagnosable mental illness, this schema continued to restrict his professional life decades later.

Schema Therapy provided an opportunity to process that experience and loosen its grip on his adult behaviour.

A Broader Definition of Psychological Health

These examples illustrate an important principle.

Schema Therapy is not reserved for people with severe psychopathology.

It is equally relevant for individuals whose lives are constrained by enduring emotional patterns that originated in earlier experiences.

A person may function well in most areas of life while still carrying schemas that influence parenting, leadership, intimacy, self-worth, or emotional resilience.

From this perspective, Schema Therapy becomes less about treating disorders and more about promoting psychological freedom.

Clinical Reflection

One of the enduring strengths of Schema Therapy is that it focuses on understanding the person rather than categorising the diagnosis.

Whenever a client's difficulties can be understood through unmet emotional needs, enduring schemas, and coping responses, the schema model offers a compassionate and clinically useful framework for change.

Key Takeaways

- Modes and schemas are complementary, not competing, ways of understanding clients.
- The mode model provides clients with a practical language for recognising their internal experiences.
- Use the simplest formulation that adequately explains the client's difficulties.
- Modes guide the therapeutic process, while schemas remain the underlying targets of treatment.
- Schema Therapy is fundamentally transdiagnostic, but diagnosis still informs evidence-based practice.
- Schema work should complement—not replace—established treatments where appropriate.
- Schema Therapy is relevant whenever unmet emotional needs continue to shape present-day functioning, regardless of whether a formal diagnosis is present.

CHAPTER 6

Using the Schema Questionnaire as a Clinical Tool

The Young Schema Questionnaire is one of the most widely used assessment tools in Schema Therapy. However, its greatest value lies not in producing scores, but in helping therapists begin a collaborative process of formulation. This chapter explores how to use the questionnaire thoughtfully, integrating it with the client's history, emotional experience, and therapeutic relationship.

The Questionnaire Starts the Conversation

Question

When reviewing a client's questionnaire, should we simply focus on the highest-scoring schemas?

Response

The Young Schema Questionnaire is an excellent assessment tool.

However, it should never be mistaken for a diagnosis.

Nor should it replace clinical formulation.

Instead, think of it as **the beginning of a conversation.**

High scores identify areas worthy of exploration, but they do not automatically confirm that a schema is clinically significant.

Likewise, lower scores do not necessarily rule a schema out.

The therapist's task is to remain curious.

Rather than assuming a high score reflects reality, invite the client into a collaborative discussion.

For example:

"Your responses suggest that Abandonment may be an important theme for you. I'm interested in how that fits with your own experience."

This creates space for reflection rather than interpretation.

Clinical Pearl

The Young Schema Questionnaire begins the conversation. It should never replace clinical formulation.

Collaboratively Exploring the Results

Questionnaire findings should always be explored alongside the client's personal narrative.

Useful questions include:

- Does this description feel accurate?
- Can you think of situations where this pattern shows up?
- Does this help explain some of the difficulties that brought you to therapy?
- Does this remind you of earlier experiences in your life?

In this way, the questionnaire becomes the beginning of formulation rather than its conclusion.

Integrating Multiple Sources of Information

Effective schema assessment combines several sources of evidence.

These include:

- The questionnaire
- Developmental history
- Current relationships
- Behavioural observations

- Emotional responses during therapy
- The therapist's ongoing formulation

When these different sources begin pointing toward the same themes, confidence in the formulation naturally increases.

The questionnaire contributes one important piece of the puzzle.

It is never the whole picture.

Clinical Pearl

Treat the person—not the questionnaire.

Prioritising Treatment

Clients frequently endorse a large number of schemas.

Fortunately, therapy does not require addressing every schema simultaneously.

Instead, identify those schemas that are:

- Most strongly linked to the client's presenting difficulties
- Most emotionally significant
- Most likely to produce meaningful change if addressed

In many cases, working effectively with two or three core schemas produces improvements across a much wider range of problems.

The aim is not to eliminate every schema score.

The aim is to help clients meet unmet emotional needs more effectively.

Final Reflections

This webinar explored a number of themes that sit at the heart of Schema Therapy.

First, effective therapy begins with **understanding rather than intervention**.

Whether discussing questionnaires, phobias, childhood memories, or offending behaviour, the emphasis remained on discovering the emotional meaning beneath the presenting problem.

Second, **modes provide a practical language for therapy.**

They help therapists understand what is happening moment by moment while maintaining focus on the deeper schemas and unmet emotional needs that require healing.

Third, Schema Therapy is fundamentally **relational**.

Whether through Imagery Rescripting, Chair Work, Empathic Confrontation, or Limited Reparenting, therapeutic change occurs through corrective emotional experiences that directly address unmet developmental needs.

Finally, the webinar reinforced a broader philosophy of practice.

Schema Therapy is not simply a treatment for Personality Disorders or complex psychopathology.

It is a model for understanding enduring emotional patterns wherever they interfere with a person's capacity to live, relate, lead, parent, and thrive.

When therapists remain curious about the origins of those patterns—and respond with empathy, structure, and appropriate challenge—they create opportunities for lasting psychological change.

Clinical Reflection

Effective Schema Therapy begins with curiosity.

The therapist's role is not simply to reduce symptoms, but to understand the emotional needs, schemas, and coping responses that give rise to them. Lasting change occurs when those unmet needs are recognised and addressed through a corrective therapeutic relationship.

Key Takeaways

- The Young Schema Questionnaire is a **clinical tool**, not a diagnosis.
- Questionnaire results should always be explored collaboratively with the client.
- Effective formulation integrates assessment findings with developmental history, behavioural observations, emotional responses, and the therapeutic relationship.
- Prioritise the schemas that are most central to the client's presenting difficulties rather than attempting to address every elevated score.
- Schema Therapy is fundamentally a model of understanding rather than categorising.
- Modes organise therapy, while schemas and unmet emotional needs remain the focus of healing.
- The therapeutic relationship continues to be the primary vehicle for meaningful and enduring change.